# ORIGINAL ARTICLE

**G. Mall · M. Hubig · G. Beier · A. Büttner · W. Eisenmenger**

# Energy loss due to radiation in postmortem cooling Part B: Energy balance with respect to radiation

Received: 13 August 1998 / Received in revised form: 10 November 1998

**Abstract** With the help of the law of Stefan and Boltzmann and a model for the cooling of exposed skin derived from the data of Lyle and Cleveland [7], the radiation energy loss  $E_R$  can be calculated according to the following formula:

$$
E_R(t) = \varepsilon \sigma A_R \int_0^t \left( [(T_S(0) - T_E) e^{-Z' t} + T_E] - T_E^4 \right) dt'
$$

where  $\varepsilon$  represents the emissivity of the skin (0.98),  $\sigma$  the Stefan-Boltzmann constant,  $A_R$  the radiating surface area,  $T<sub>S</sub>(0)$  the skin temperature at death,  $T<sub>E</sub>$  the environmental temperature and  $Z' = 0.1017$  the gradient of the skin temperature curve.

Additionally, an energy loss due to conduction and convection  $E_C$  has to be taken into account. Comparing the energy losses due to radiation, conduction and convection with the decrease  $E_T$  of the thermal energy in the body, calculated from mean heat capacity (3.45 kJ/(kg °K)), body mass and decrease of mean body temperature, there is a surplus of energy in the very early postmortem period, which can be explained only by an internal source of energy  $E_I$ . Alltogether the following balance equation can be formulated:

$$
E_T+E_I=E_R+E_C
$$

Since the body temperature decreases in the early postmortem period, E<sub>I</sub> can be estimated by: E<sub>I</sub>(t)  $\geq$  max  $(E_R(t) - E_T(t), 0)$ . The values obtained range up to 500 kJ for a medium sized (175 cm), medium weight (75 kg) body at an environmental temperature of  $5^{\circ}$ C and are compatible with estimations of Lundquist [6] for supravital energy production by breakdown of glycogen.

G. Mall  $(\boxtimes) \cdot$  G. Beier  $\cdot$  A. Büttner  $\cdot$  W. Eisenmenger Institute of Legal Medicine,

M. Hubig German Remote Sensing Data Centre at the German Aerospace Research Centre (DLR), D-82230 Wessling, Germany

**Key words** Postmortem cooling · Radiation · Conduction · Convection · Thermal energy · Supravital activity · Time since death

## Introduction

The contribution of thermal radiation to the energy loss in postmortem cooling is assessed differently in the forensic literature [3–5]. Part A of the paper [8] presented quantitative estimations of the energy loss due to radiation under standardized conditions using the law of Stefan and Boltzmann. The calculations showed that radiation considerably contributes to the cooling of a dead body.

In the very early postmortem period, the radiation energy loss exceeds the thermal energy loss, calculated from body mass, body heat capacity and the difference between body temperature at death and at time t during the cooling process. The amount of energy emitted by radiation cannot be explained by the loss of thermal energy alone. To maintain the energy balance according to the energy conservation law, a source of energy within the body has to be postulated.

In the present part B of the paper, this matter is quantitatively analysed, pointing out that in the early postmortem period an internal production of thermal energy, which may be explained by chemical processes in the supravital period, has to be assumed. A model for the balance of energies and powers is developed leading to formulae, by which it is possible to estimate a lower bound for the amount of the internal energy production post mortem.

Since the arguments presented are to some extent technical, the paper is structured into text and appendix. Strictly formal definitions and deductions are described in detail in the appendix and cited only by their number in the text.

Ludwigs-Maximilians-University Munich, Frauenlobstrasse 7a, D-80337 München, Germany

## Method

Power and energy model

The following model is developed for the description of the energetic circumstances in the postmortem cooling process:

The human body B in the present model can be completely described by the following quantities:

m: body mass in kg

c: specific heat capacity in kJ/(kg °K)

 $A_R$ : radiating surface area in m<sup>2</sup>

The specific heat capacity is assumed as  $3.45 \text{ kJ/(kg} \text{°K)}$  [10]. The radiating surface area for a prone dead body is determined by reducing the Dubois surface area by 0.5 [2].

Let the death (cardiopulmonary arrest) of a human body B occur at time t = 0. The environmental temperature  $T_E$  at the time of death and for the time postmortem  $t > 0$  is assumed to be constant (A1). Let the mean body temperature  $T_M(t)$  of the body be defined, such that for all times  $t \ge 0$  the thermal energy content  $Q_T(t)$  of the body B is equal to the mean body temperature multiplied by body mass m and the specific heat capacity c (A2).

Let  $T_C(t)$  be the core temperature and  $T_S(t)$  the mean surface (or skin) temperature of the body at time t. The mean body temperature T<sub>M</sub>(t) can be estimated by determining a real number  $0 \le \gamma \le 1$ taking into account the temperature gradient between the core of the body and its periphery. This is done by weighting the skin temperature T<sub>S</sub> with  $(1 – γ)$  and the core temperature T<sub>C</sub> with γ (A3). According to physiological findings [2], the number  $\gamma$  can be assumed as 0.7 for the early postmortem period. Under the approximative presupposition, that all body types and body mass distributions can be modeled by linear contractions or inflations of one 'prototype', the number γ can be assumed to be independent of individual properties such as body mass and size.

Energies and powers in the model

All energies and corresponding powers are, by convention and for the sake of clarity, counted positive in all the following equations. This is possible, because the skin temperature  $T<sub>S</sub>$ , falling to the environmental temperature  $T<sub>E</sub>$ , is at all times assumed to be higher than  $T_E$ .

The following powers influence the process of postmortem cooling:

Power  $P_I(t)$  due to internal energy production.

 $P_I(t)$  denotes the production of thermal energy per unit of time in the body B by reactions due to supravital activity.

– Power  $P_R(t)$  due to radiation.

The loss of thermal energy by electromagnetic radiation is quantitatively anlysed in part A of the paper [8]. The power due to radiation  $P_R(t)$  of the body B at time t can be determined by the law of Stefan and Boltzmann [1]. It is proportional to the difference of the fourth powers of  $T_S$  and  $T_E$  (A4). The constants of proportionality are the emissivity of the skin  $\varepsilon = 0.98$  [2], the Stefan-Boltzmann constant  $\sigma = 5.67 \times 10^{-8}$  W/(m<sup>2</sup> K<sup>4</sup>) and the radiating surface area  $A_R$ .

– Power  $P_C(t)$  due to conduction and convection.

The direct transfer of thermal energy from the body B to the surrounding media by conduction and convection represents a further source of energy loss. The power  $P_C(t)$  due to conduction and convection at time t can be described as a monotonously falling positive function of the difference between skin temperature  $T_s$  and environmental temperature  $T_E(A5)$ .

Integrating over time the internal power  $P_I(t)$ , the radiation power  $P_R(t)$  and the conductive/convective power  $P_C(t)$  from the time of death ( $t = 0$ ) to the time postmortem  $t > 0$  leads to the corresponding energies  $E_I(t)$ ,  $E_R(t)$  and  $E_C(t)$  (A6).

The model makes use of the energy conservation law in the following way:

The body B can be considered as a thermal reservoir with a thermal energy content  $Q_T$ . There are two kinds of energy transfer: metabolic processes as well as supravital activity supply thermal energy to the reservoir B via the power P<sub>I</sub>; radiation, conduction and convection withdraw thermal energy from the reservoir B via the power  $(P_R + P_C)$ .

Before death  $(t < 0)$  and under normal circumstances (constant body temperature), the metabolic processes in the body supply as much energy per unit of time as is lost by radiation, conduction and convection per unit of time. After death  $(t > 0)$ , since the energy intake by nutrition has ceased, the body B represents a onesided open system.

In the early postmortem period, the mean body temperature  $T_M$ and correspondingly the skin temperature  $T<sub>S</sub>$  and core temperature  $T<sub>C</sub>$  continuously and monotonously decrease to the environmental temperature  $T_E$ .

The energy loss due to radiation  $E_R$ , conduction/convection  $E_C$ and the energy gain due to internal energy production  $E_I$  are balanced by the corresponding change of the content of thermal energy. In the early postmortem period, the thermal energy content  $Q_T$  decreases, except for special environmental or body conditions (e.g. rapid onset of decay) which are not subject of the estimations presented.

It is not possible to calculate the actual change of the thermal energy content due to radiative and conductive/convective heat loss in a time span  $t_1 < t_2$  by simply inserting the difference of the mean body temperature  $T_M(t_2) - T_M(t_1)$  in the definition for the thermal energy content  $Q_T$  (A2), because the thermal energy produced by supravital activity  $E_1$  during the time span  $t_1 < t_2$  increases the mean body temperature  $T<sub>M</sub>(t<sub>2</sub>)$ . Thereby, the difference between the thermal energy content at the time of death and the time t postmortem is reduced. While the thermal energy content  $Q_T$ calculated from the decrease of the mean body temperarture  $T_M$  is equal to the energy content of the body, this would be valid for changes of the thermal energy content only if there was no internal energy production. We therefore define an *apparent* thermal energy change  $E_T(t)$  at time t as the difference  $Q_T(0) - Q_T(t)$  of the thermal energy content at time  $t = 0$  and  $t (A7)$ . Thus, it is possible to formulate a balance equation of the energies in the cooling process (A8). The energy 'gain' due to apparent thermal energy change  $E_T$  and internal energy  $E_I$  is balanced by the energy 'loss' due to radiation  $E_R$  and conduction/convection  $E_C$ . An analogous balance can be formulated for the corresponding powers (A9).

As already mentioned, all quantities in the power and energy balance equations have positive values. This convention can be applied since the energies due to radiation ER and conduction/convection  $E_C$  strictly flow from the body to the environment.

#### Assumptions for the cooling process

The model contains the time-dependent temperatures  $T<sub>s</sub>(t)$ , the skin temperature, and  $T<sub>M</sub>(t)$ , the mean body temperature, as variables. To be able to derive quantitative statements, these two functions of time have to be expressed by calculable formulae.

As already presented in detail in Part A [8], the course of the skin temperature  $T<sub>S</sub>(t)$  for the time postmortem can be described by a simple single-exponential model (A10). The difference between skin temperature  $T<sub>S</sub>(t)$  and the environmental temperature  $T<sub>E</sub>$  is proportional to a falling exponential function of time with the difference between skin temperature at time of death and environmental temperature as factor of proportionality. The gradient Z′ was determined by a loglinear regression analysis of the temperature difference data of Lyle and Cleveland for exposed skin [7].

The mean body temperature  $T_M(t)$  allows the calculation of the apparent thermal energy loss  $E_T(t)$  of the body (A7) as a function of skin temperature  $T_s$  and and core temperature  $T_c$  (A11).

The starting temperature of the skin  $T<sub>s</sub>(0)$  is assumed as 33 °C [2], the starting temperature of the core of the body  $T_C(0)$  as 37 °C. The time-dependent behaviour of the core temperature of the body  $T<sub>C</sub>(t)$  is determined according to the double-exponential approach of Marshall and Hoare [9], Henßge [3] and Henßge and Madea [4], valid for the rectal temperature (A12). For estimative purposes it is sufficient to identify core and rectal temperature. The values for the coefficients Z and p are calculated, as advised by Henßge [3] for standard conditions, i.e. the naked body lying extended on the back on a thermally indifferent ground in still air in a closed room and without any sources of strong radiation:

 $Z = (1.2815 \text{ m}^{-0.625} - 0.0284) \text{ h}^{-1}$  $p = 5 Z$ 

Where m denotes the pure number of the body weight in kg.

#### Lower bounds for the internal energy and power

For the time postmortem  $t \ge 0$  the power balance equation (A9) of the model can be solved for  $P_I(t)$  (A13). The power due to internal energy production  $P<sub>I</sub>$  is at all times t equal to the power due to radiation and due to conduction/convection  $P_R + P_C$  minus the thermal power  $P_T$  delivered by the pure cooling of the body. Since the amount of conductive and convective power  $P_c$  in the estimation presented can only assume positive values (the body is not warming up), the amount of internal power  $P_1(t)$  *a fortiori* has to be greater than the amount of radiation power  $P_R(t)$  minus the amount of thermal power  $P_T(t)$  at time t (A14). Since this is valid for all times t, it can be transferred to the corresponding energies as integrals of the powers (A15).

The inequality  $(E_I \ge E_R - E_T)$  directly provides a lower bound  $LE<sub>I</sub>$  for the internal energy (A16a, b). In analogy, a lower bound for the internal power  $LP<sub>I</sub>$  (A17a, b) can directly be derived from the inequality of powers  $(P_I \ge P_R - P_T)$ .

#### Improvement of the lower bounds for the internal power and internal energy

The lower bounds  $LP_I$  (A17a, b) and  $LE_I$  (A16a, b) of the internal power and internal energy can be improved by using the power due to conduction and convection  $P_C$ . On the one hand, the power due to radiation  $P_R$  falls rapidly with increasing time postmortem in a monotonous way (cf. Fig. 1). On the other hand, the thermal power  $P_T$  first rises starting from zero and then slowly falls to-



**Fig. 1** Radiation power  $P_R$ , thermal power  $P_T$  and lower bound of internal power  $LP<sub>I</sub>$  in kJ/h up to 20 h postmortem in a standard sized and standard weight body (175 cm, 75 kg) at an environ-

mental temperature  $T_E = 5 °C$ 

wards zero again (cf. Fig. 1). Therefore, it is possible to determine a time  $t_{\text{max}}$  (A18), for which the surplus of the thermal power  $P_T$ over the power due to radiation  $P_R$  reaches a maximum. Since the balance equation for the powers (A9) is valid for all times t, it is also valid for  $t_{\text{max}}$ . Solving the power balance equation for the power due to conduction and convection  $P_C$  leads to an equation where  $P_C$  is balanced by the thermal power  $P_T$  minus radiation power  $P_R$  plus internal power  $P_I$  at time  $t_{max}$  (A19). Since the internal power  $P<sub>I</sub>$  (originating from exothermal processes) cannot assume a negative value at time  $t_{\text{max}}$ , the amount of the power due to conduction/convection  $P_C$  at time  $t_{max}$  is greater than or equal to the difference  $P_T(t_{max}) - P_R(t_{max})$  (A20). This inequality directly provides a lower bound for the power  $P_C$  at time  $t_{max}$ . Since the power due to conduction and convection  $P_C$  is assumed to be a monotonously falling function with time (A21), the lower bound is valid for the times before  $t_{\text{max}}$  as well (A22). By adding the amount of conductive/convective power  $P_C$  at time  $t_{max}$  to the lower bound  $LP<sub>I</sub>$  (see in detail A23) it is now possible to derive an improved estimate of the lower bound for the internal power  $LPC<sub>I</sub>$  (A24). As the internal energy  $E<sub>I</sub>$  represents the time integral of the internal power  $P_I$ , the improved lower bound for the internal energy  $LEC_I$ can directly be deduced (A25).

### **Results**

The following results should be understood as a rough estimation of the energy conditions based on the currently accessible experimental results (e.g. skin cooling, decrease of mean body temperature).

The curves given in the Figs. 1–3 are valid for a medium sized (175 cm) and standard weight (75 kg) body at an environmental temperature of 5 °C under standard conditions, i.e. the naked body lying extended on the back on a thermally indifferent ground in still air in a closed room without sources of strong radiation. Figure 1 presents the apparent thermal power  $P_T$ , the power due to radiation  $P_R$ , the lower bound for the internal power  $LP<sub>I</sub>$  (as derived from the difference  $P_R - P_T$  alone) and the improved lower



**Fig. 2** Radiation energy  $E_R$ , thermal energy  $E_T$  and lower bound of internal energy  $LE_I$  in  $\overline{k}J$  up to 20 h postmortem in a standard sized and standard weight body (175 cm, 75 kg) at an environmental temperature  $T_E = 5 \degree C$ 



**Fig. 3** Skin temperature and mean body temperature in °C up to 20 h postmortem for a standard sized and standard weight body (175 cm, 75 kg) at an environmental temperature  $T_E = 5 \degree C$ 

bound  $LPC<sub>I</sub>$  for the same time span. Figure 2 gives the time-dependent course of the corresponding energies  $E_T$ ,  $E_R$ , LE<sub>I</sub> and LEC<sub>I</sub>. The time-dependent course of the skin temperature  $T_S$  and of the mean body temperature  $T_M$  during the first 20 h postmortem is shown in Fig. 3.

In Tables 1 and 2, the cumulative amounts of the energies  $E_R$ ,  $E_T$  and LEC<sub>I</sub> as well as the amounts of the corresponding powers are listed for non-standard body weight and body height in different environmental temperatures. The results are presented for small bodies (165 cm) of lean (50 kg), standard weight (65 kg) and overweight (80 kg) stature and for tall bodies (185 cm) of lean (70 kg), standard weight (85 kg) and overweight (100 kg) stature at environmental temperatures of 5 °C (Table 1) and 20 °C (Table 2) up to 10 h postmortem.

## **Discussion**

In continuation of Part A of the paper [8], which gives an estimation of the amounts of radiation energy loss in postmortem cooling, part B compares the radiation energy loss to the apparent loss of the thermal energy calculated from body heat capacity, body mass and decrease of mean body temperature. As the amount of radiation emitted cannot be fully explained by the loss of apparent thermal energy, an energy, or power model was developed, including a source of internal energy production within the dead body.

The course of the skin temperature, necessary to calculate the radiation losses, is based on the values obtained by Lyle and Cleveland [7] from measurements at the forehead. Because of the greater thermal reservoir, the temperature of the skin on the trunk will most probably decrease more slowly. A slower decrease of the skin temper-

ature leads to an increased radiation power (being dependent on the temperature difference between skin and environment to the fourth power) as well as to a higher heat loss due to conduction and convection. Consequently the calculations presented underestimate the lower bound for the internal energy production.

In the following, the dependence of the estimation of the internal energy  $E_I$  by means of the difference between the energies  $E_R$  and  $E_T$  on the selection of the weighting coefficient  $\gamma$  for determining the mean body temperature  $T<sub>M</sub>$  (see A3) is discussed. According to its definition (see A16a) the lower bound  $LE<sub>I</sub>(t)$  for the internal energy  $E<sub>I</sub>$ becomes zero at time t if for all times  $t^* < t$  the apparent thermal energy  $E_T(t^*)$  is greater than or equal to the radiation energy  $E_R(t^*)$ . Since the highest rates of internal energy production are reached in the very early postmortem period (close to  $t = 0$ ), an analytical approach for determining a limit coefficient  $\gamma_{\text{lim}}$  is possible (see in detail B1–7). Inserting the values for the standard case presented in Figs. 1–3 (body weight: 75 kg, body height: 175 cm,  $T_E = 5 \degree C$ ) leads to:

 $\gamma_{\text{lim}} = 0.393$ 

It can therefore be concluded that the weighting ratio between core temperature  $T_c$  and skin temperature  $T_s$  assumed in the presented estimations according to physiological standard conditions [2] with a weighting coefficient  $\gamma = 0.7$  has to be almost reversed to make the presented method of estimating the internal energy production impossible.

The temperature weighting coefficient  $\gamma$  for the determination of the mean body temperature was further assumed to be constant with time. This assumption is supported by the following consideration: the human body is approximated as consisting of two homogeneous components, a peripheral and a central one with the specific heat capacities  $c_p$  for the periphery and  $c_c$  for the centre. Let the temperature of the periphery at time t be  $T<sub>S</sub>(t)$ , the temperature of the centre  $T<sub>C</sub>(t)$ . The thermal energy content of the whole body at the time t can now be calculated in two ways. Firstly, the thermal energy content can be calculated by multiplying mean body temperature  $T_M$ with overall body mass and overall specific heat capacity (B8). Secondly, the energy content can be replaced by the sum of the energy contents  $Q_P$  of the peripheral and  $Q_C$  of the central component (B9).  $Q_P$  is proportional to  $T_S$  with the factors mass  $m_P$  and specific heat capacity  $c_P$  (B10);  $Q_C$  is proportional to T<sub>C</sub> with the factors mass m<sub>C</sub> and specific heat capacity  $c_C$  (B11). By equating the first and the second step, the mean body temperature  $T_M$  can be described as a function of  $T_S$  and  $T_C$  (B12): The mean temperature  $T_M$  represents the weighted average of the central temperature  $T_c$  and the peripheral temperature  $T_s$ . The weights are independent of time. Under the further presupposition that the heat capacities of the peripheral and the central component are approximately equal, the weights can be determined as a real number  $\gamma \in [0,1]$  for  $T_C$  (B13) and  $1 - \gamma$  for  $T_S$  (B14).

As is evident from the Tables 1 and 2, the estimates for the lower bounds of the production of internal power  $LPC_I$ 



	<b>Table 2</b> Radiation energy $E_R$ and power $P_R$ , thermal energy $E_T$ and power $P_T$ , lower					
	bound of internal energy LEC <sub>1</sub> and power LPC <sub>1</sub> in kJ and kJ/h, mean body temperature $T_M$					
	and skin temperature $T_s$ in °C up to 10 h postmortem (t) for bodies of different size (165					



and energy  $LEC<sub>I</sub>$  are considerably higher at an environmental temperature of 5 °C than at 20 °C. This dependence of the lower bounds of the internal power and energy does by no means indicate an analogous dependence of the estimated quantities (internal power and energy). Since the lower bounds are derived by calculations of the radiation energy transfer, the strong dependence of the Stefan-Boltzmann law on the environmental temperature is transmitted to the estimated lower bounds. Since chemical reactions are commonly accelerated at higher temperatures, the rate of internal energy production will increase at higher environmental temperatures. The amount of this increase cannot be estimated from the presented model. The true value for internal energy production rate is therefore most probably lower at an environmental temperature of  $5^{\circ}$ C than at  $20^{\circ}$ C. The lower bounds estimated only from radiation losses, at an environmental temperature of  $5^{\circ}$ C (as in Table 1) are therefore valid for higher environmental temperatures as well.

The value of the improved lower bound for the internal energy amounts to about 1000 kJ for a standard sized individual of 75 kg and is roughly in accordance with results of Lundquist [6]. Lundquist [6] estimated an energy production of 140 kcal (which is 587 kJ) for a standard sized individual of 70 kg from the content of glycogen in the body, assumed as 350 g, with an energy output of 0.4 kcal/g glycogen. According to Lundquist [6], other processes, e.g. the hydrolysis of various phosphorous compounds, will amount to approximately half of the energy, produced by the breakdown of glycogen, leading to a total energy output of about 880 kJ during the early postmortem period (assumed by Lundquist [6] as 10 h). The estimations for non-standard sized bodies (Table 1) show that the amounts of internal energy production increase with increasing body weight and size. According to the estimations of Lundquist [6] this could be explained by an increased content of glycogen.

Altogether the estimations presented underline the significance of radiation as a mechanism of energy transfer from the dead body to the cooler environment and give a conservative estimation of the amounts of energy production due to supravital activity depending on the time since death. The estimations are intended as a basis for experimental measurements of the heat production within the dead body in the early postmortem period.

## Appendix A

Environmental temperature assumed to be constant:

$$
T_E(t) = T_E = \text{const.} \quad \forall \ t \ge 0 \tag{A1}
$$

Definition of thermal energy content  $Q_T$  (t):  $Q_m(t) = m c T_M(t)$  (A2)

$$
Q_T(t) = \text{III } C \cdot I_M(t) \tag{A2}
$$

Mean body temperature as weighted average of skin and core temperature:

$$
T_M(t) \approx (1 - \gamma) T_S(t) + \gamma T_C(t)
$$
\n(A3)

Power due to radiation according to law of Stefan and Boltzmann:  $P_R(t) = \varepsilon \sigma A_R (T_S^4(t) - T_E)$  $(A4)$  Power due to conduction/convection as monotonously falling positive function with time:

$$
P_C(t) \ge 0 \text{ and } P_C(t) \downarrow \tag{A5}
$$

Energies  $E_I(t)$ ,  $E_R(t)$  and  $E_C(t)$  (t' indicating time variable in integral expression)

$$
E_{I}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} P_{I}(t') dt' \quad E_{R}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} P_{R}(t') dt' \quad E_{C}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} P_{C}(t') dt' \tag{A6}
$$

Definition of *apparent* thermal energy change:

$$
E_T(t) = Q_T(0) - Q_T(t) = m c (T_M(0) - T_M(t))
$$
 (A7)

Balance equation for the energies:

$$
E_T(t) + E_I(t) = E_R(t) + E_C(t)
$$
\n(A8)

Balance equation for the powers:

$$
P_T(t) + P_I(t) = P_R(t) + P_C(t)
$$
 (A9)

Single exponential model for skin cooling:

$$
\frac{T_S(t) - T_E}{T_S(0) - T_E} = e^{-Z't}
$$
\n(A10)

Determination of mean body temperature:

$$
T_M(t) = 0.3 T_S(t) + 0.7 T_C(t)
$$
\n(A11)

Double-exponential approach of Marshall and Hoare [9] and Henßge [3] for rectal cooling:

$$
T(t) = \frac{1}{p - Z} (pe^{-Zt} - Ze^{-pt})(T(0) - T_E) + T_E
$$
\n(A12)

Solving (A9) for the internal power  $P_I$  (t) leads to:

$$
P_I(t) = P_R(t) - P_T(t) + P_C(t) \qquad \forall \ t \ge 0 \tag{A13}
$$

The following inequality can directly be derived from (A13), since  $P_C(t)$  is positive:

$$
P_I(t) \ge P_R(t) - P_T(t) \qquad \qquad \forall \ t \ge 0 \tag{A14}
$$

It can be transferred to the corresponding energies:

t\*

after inserting (A10) and (A7):

$$
E_{I}(t) \ge E_{R}(t) - E_{T}(t) \qquad \forall t \ge 0 \qquad (A15)
$$

Since the internal energy  $E_I$  accumulates with time, the following estimation is valid for the lower bound of the internal energy (t′ indicating time variable in integral expression):

$$
LE_{I}(t) := \max \{ \int_{0}^{t} P_{R}(t') - P_{T}(t') dt' \mid t^{*} < t \}
$$
 (A16a)

Substituting (A10) and (A7) provides a calculable formula:

$$
LE_{I}(t) := \max \big\{ \int_{0}^{t^{*}} \varepsilon \sigma A_{R} (T_{S}(t')^{4} - T_{E}^{4}) dt' - (\text{m c } (T_{M}(0) - T_{M}(t^{*})) | t^{*}t \} \big\}
$$
(A16b)

In analogy, the lower bound for the internal power is:

$$
LP_{I}(t) := \max \{ P_{R}(t) - P_{T}(t), 0 \}
$$
 (A17a)

$$
LP_{I}(t) := \max \{ \varepsilon \sigma A_{R} (T_{S}(t)^{4} - T_{E}^{4}) - \frac{d}{dt} (m c (T_{M}(0) - T_{M}(t))), 0 \}
$$
\n(A17b)

It is possible to determine a time  $t_{\text{max}}$  with the following quality:

$$
(P_T - P_R)(t_{max}) \ge (P_T - P_R)(t) \qquad \forall \ t \ge 0 \tag{A18}
$$

Solving the balance equation for powers (A9) at time  $t_{max}$  for  $P_C(t)$ leads to:

$$
P_C(t_{\text{max}}) = P_T(t_{\text{max}}) - P_R(t_{\text{max}}) + P_I(t_{\text{max}})
$$
(A19)

Since the internal power  $P<sub>I</sub>$  cannot assume negative values at time  $t_{\text{max}}$ , the following inequality is valid:

$$
P_C(t_{\text{max}}) \ge P_T(t_{\text{max}}) - P_R(t_{\text{max}}) \tag{A20}
$$

The power due to conduction/convection represents a monotonously falling function of time:

$$
t < t' \Rightarrow P_C(t) < P_C(t')
$$
 (A21)

Therefore, it can be deduced from (A20) for times  $t \le t_{max}$ :

$$
P_C(t) \ge P_C(t_{\text{max}}) \ge P_T(t_{\text{max}}) - P_R(t_{\text{max}}) \qquad \forall \ t \le t_{\text{max}} \quad (A22)
$$

Going back to the balance equation for powers the following inequalities can be formulated:

$$
P_{I}(t) = P_{C}(t) + P_{R}(t) - P_{T}(t)
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq P_{C}(t_{max}) + P_{R}(t) - P_{T}(t)
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq (P_{T}(t_{max}) - P_{R}(t_{max})) + (P_{R}(t) - P_{T}(t)) \qquad \forall t \leq t_{max} \quad (A23)
$$

The improved lower bound for the internal power  $LPC<sub>I</sub>$  then is:

 $P_I(t) \geq \text{LPC}_I(t) := (P_T(t_{\text{max}}) - P_R(t_{\text{max}})) + \text{LP}_I(t) \quad \forall t \leq t_{\text{max}}$  (A24)

Since the internal energy  $E_I$  is the time integral of the internal power  $P_I$ , the improved lower bound LEC<sub>I</sub> for the internal energy  $E_I$  is:

$$
E_I(t) \geq LEC_I(t) := (P_T(t_{max}) - P_R(t_{max}))\,t + LE_I(t) \qquad \forall \ t \leq t_{max} \quad (A25)
$$

## Appendix B

For the very early postmortem period the time dependent functions  $E_T(t)$  and  $E_R(t)$  can be approximated by a Taylor series expansion of order 1 since the functions  $E_T$  and  $E_R$  are differentiable functions of time:

$$
E_T(t) = \frac{dE_T}{dt}(0) t, E_R(t) = \frac{dE_R}{dt}(0) t
$$
 for small t (B1)

For small time spans postmortem the lower bound  $LE<sub>I</sub>$  (t) becomes equal to zero if  $E_T(t) = E_R(t)$  since the functions of  $E_T(t)$  and  $E_R(t)$ can be approximately assumed to be linear. The limiting condition  $LE<sub>I</sub>(t) = 0$  can therefore be substituted by the following equation:

$$
\frac{dE_T}{dt}(0) = \frac{dE_R}{dt}(0)
$$
\n(B2)

By means of a Taylor series expansion in t of order 1 for the functions  $E_R(t)$ ,  $E_T(t)$  and  $T_M(t)$ ,  $\gamma_{lim}$  can be expressed as:

$$
\gamma_{\rm lim} = 1 - \frac{\varepsilon \sigma A_{\rm R}}{Z' \, \text{mc}} \, \frac{T_{\rm S}(0)^4 - T_{\rm E}^4}{T_{\rm S}(0)^4 - T_{\rm E}} \tag{B3}
$$

This formula is obtained in four steps:

Firstly, insert (A12) and (A10) in (A3). A Taylor series expansion of order 1 at time  $t = 0$  leads to:

 $T_M$  (t) = (γ T<sub>C</sub> (0) + (1 – γ)T<sub>S</sub> (0)) – (1 – γ) (T<sub>S</sub> (0) – T<sub>E</sub>) Z' t (B4)<br>for small time spans t pm

Secondly, insert (B4) in (A7):

$$
E_T(t) = mc(1 - \gamma) (T_S(0) - T_E) Z' t
$$
 for small time spans t pm (B5)

Thirdly, insert (A4) in (A6). A Taylor series expansion of order 1 at time  $t = 0$  leads to:

$$
E_R(t) = \varepsilon \sigma A_R (T_S(t)^4 - T_E^4) t
$$
 for small time spans t pm (B6)  
Fourthly, equalize (B6) and (B5) according to (B2):

$$
mc(1-\gamma) (T_S(0) - T_E) Z' = \epsilon \sigma A_R (T_S(t)^4 - T_E^4)
$$
 (B7)

(B7) can be solved for  $\gamma$ . Changing the symbol  $\gamma$  to  $\gamma_{\text{lim}}$  (the formula is valid for the limit case  $LE<sub>I</sub>(t) = 0$ ) produces the desired formula (B3).

The thermal energy content Q of the body is:

$$
Q = m c T_M \tag{B8}
$$

 $Q$  can also be expressed as sum of the energy content  $Q<sub>p</sub>$  of the peripheral and  $Q<sub>C</sub>$  of the central component:

$$
Q = Q_P + Q_C \tag{B9}
$$

With the mass of the peripheral component  $m<sub>P</sub>$ , the mass of the central component  $m<sub>C</sub>$  and the specific heat capacities  $c<sub>P</sub>$  for the periphery and  $c<sub>C</sub>$  for the centre, the energy contents are:

$$
Q_P = m_P c_P T_S
$$
\n
$$
Q_C = m_C c_C T_C
$$
\n(B10)  
\n(B11)

Inserting  $(B8)$ ,  $(B10)$  and  $(B11)$  in  $(B9)$  leads to:

$$
T_M = \frac{m_P c_P}{(mc)} T_S + \frac{m_C c_C}{(mc)} T_C
$$
 (B12)

Compare with (A3), under the assumption that  $c \approx c_P \approx c_C$ :

$$
\gamma = \frac{m_C c_C}{(mc)} = m_P/m = (m - m_C)/m = 1 - (m_C/m)
$$
 (B13)

$$
1 - \gamma = \frac{m_P c_P}{(mc)} = m_C/m
$$
 (B14)

## **References**

- 1. Baer HD, Stephan K (1996) Wärme- und Stoffübertragung, 2. Aufl. Springer, Berlin, pp 517–565
- 2. Gagge AP, Gonzalez RR (1996) Mechanisms of heat exchange: biophysics and physiology. In: Fregly MJ, Blatteis CM (eds) Handbook of physiology. Environmental physiology. Oxford University Press, New York Oxford, pp 45–52
- 3. Henßge C (1979) Die Präzision von Todeszeitschätzungen durch die mathematische Beschreibung der rektalen Leichenabkühlung. Z Rechtsmed 83 :49–67
- 4. Henßge C, Madea B (1988) Methoden zur Bestimmung der Todeszeit an Leichen. Schmidt-Römhild, Lübeck, pp 133–201
- 5. Joseph AEA, Schickele E (1970) A general method for assessing factors controlling postmortem cooling. J Forensic Sci 15  $(3) : 364 - 391$
- 6. Lundquist F (1956) Physical and chemical methods for the estimation of the time of death. Acta Med Leg Soc (Liège) 9 : 205–213
- 7. Lyle HP, Cleveland FP (1956) Determination of the time of death by body heat loss. J Forensic Sci 1 :11–24
- 8. Mall G, Hubig M, Beier G, Eisenmenger W (1998) Energy loss due to radiation in postmortem cooling Part A: quantitative estimation of radiation using the Stefan-Boltzmann law. Int J Legal Med 111 :292–299
- 9. Marshall TK, Hoare FE (1962) Estimating the time of death. J Forensic Sci 7 :56–81, 189–210, 211–221
- 10. Werner J, Buse M (1988) Temperature profiles with respect to inhomogeneity and geometry of the human body. J Appl Physiol 65 :1110–1118